MELINDA L. HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division 3 4 JOSEPH FAZIOLI (ILBN 6273413) Assistant United States Attorney FILED 5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 JUL 1 0 2013 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5595 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 7 ioseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 S.4N JOSE Attorneys for the United States 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 13 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 5:13-MJ-70368 HRL 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING APPEARANCE DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM 16 v. THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. 17 STEVEN HIBBETT. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) 18 Defendant. 19 This matter is scheduled before the Court for an preliminary hearing or arraignment on 20 July 11, 2013. On April 5, 2013, this Court issued a criminal complaint against the defendant 21 related to two child pornography offenses under 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a). The United States and the 22 defendant now request a continuance until July 25, 2013 in order to afford defense counsel 23 additional time to effectively prepare and also to allow the parties an opportunity to finalize a 24 potential pre-indictment resolution of the matter. The parties agree, and the Court finds and 25 26 holds, as follows: 27 1. The preliminary hearing or arraignment is continued to July 25, 2013. 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 5:13-MJ-70368 HRL

1

2

3

- Time should be excluded under Rule 5.1 from July 11, 2013 to July 25, 2013 in 2. order to allow defense counsel additional time to effectively prepare and also to allow the parties an opportunity to discuss a potential pre-indictment resolution of the matter. The parties agree that the continuance is proper under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 18 U.S.C. § 3060.
- The time between July 11, 2013 to July 25, 2013 is excluded under the Speedy 3. Trial Act. The parties agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

STIPULATED:

DATED: <u>7/9/13</u>

7/9/13 DATED:

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED:

Assistant Federal Public Defender

Assistant United States Attorney

HOWARD R. LLOY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 5:13-MJ-70368 HRL